UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKX	
EVELYN GAINES, individually and as mother and natural guardian of TYLER GAINES, Plaintiff,	ECF Case
r idiridiri,	ANSWER
V. DISTRICT COUNCIL1707 HEALTH AND INSURANCE FUND, DISTRICT COUNCIL 1707 HEALTH and BENEFIT FUND and THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE DISTRICT COUNCIL 1707 HEALTH AND	08-CV-4229 (RMB)
Defendants.	

Defendants, District Council 1707 Health and Insurance Fund, District

Council 1707 Health and Benefit Fund and the Board of Trustees of the District

Council 1707 Health and Insurance Fund, in response to Plaintiff's complaint, allege as follows:

1. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 1 of the complaint, except admit that "District Council 1707 Health and Insurance Fund" was the name of District Council 1707 Health and Benefit Fund prior to September 16, 2003*, and that said Fund had offices located in New York City, New York; and further admit that said Fund was a "self-funded"** employee welfare benefit plan.

^{*} all references to the District Council 1707 Health and *Insurance* Fund in the complaint are answered as if they were directed to the District Council 1707 Health and *Benefit* Fund to reflect the fact that the Fund's name was changed in September 2003 to the District Council 1707 Health and *Benefit* Fund, and that Plaintiff was only a participant in the Health and Benefit Fund.

^{**}the term "self-funded" denotes a plan that does not utilize insurance for payment of claims

- 2. Admit the allegations contained in paragraph 2 of the complaint.
- 3. Admit the allegations contained in paragraph 3 of the complaint.
- 4. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 4 of the complaint.
- 5. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 5 of the complaint.
- 6. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 6 of the complaint.
- 7. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 7 of the complaint.
- 8. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 8 of the complaint.
- 9. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 9 of the complaint.
- 10. Deny knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 10 of the complaint.
- 11. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 11 of the complaint, except admit that plaintiff was a Participant in the District Council 1707 Health and Benefit Plan between September 1, 2003 and October 31, 2004.
- 12. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 12 of the complaint, except admit that plaintiff was a Participant in the District Council 1707 Health and Benefit Plan between September 1, 2003 and October 31, 2004.
- 13. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 13 of the complaint.
- 14. Deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 14 of the complaint, except admit that coverage under the District Council 1707 Health and Benefit Plan was available to eligible Dependents of plan Participants.
- 15. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 15 of the complaint.
- 16. Deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the

Page 3 of 7

allegations contained in paragraph 16 of the complaint, except admit the Defendants do provide a summary plan description to eligible Fund Participants.

- 17. Deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 17 of the complaint.
- 18. Deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 18 of the complaint.
- 19. Deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 19 of the complaint.
- 20. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 20 of the complaint.
- 21. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 21 of the complaint as they refer to the District Council Health and *Insurance* Fund, but admit that the District Council 1707 Health and *Benefit* Fund sent to Plaintiff a "Subrogation, Assignment of Rights and Reimbursement Agreement".
- 22. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 22 of the complaint as they refer to the District Council Health and *Insurance* Fund, and aver that the District Council 1707 Health and *Benefit* Fund sent a letter to Plaintiff on March 17, 2004, and respectfully refer this Court to the letter itself for its complete terms...
- 23. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 23 of the complaint.
- 24. Deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 24 of the complaint.
- 25. Admit the allegations contained in paragraph 25 of the complaint.
- 26. Admit the allegations contained in paragraph 26 of the complaint.

- 27. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 27 of the complaint.
- 28. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 28 of the complaint.
- 29. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 29 of the complaint.
- 30. Deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 30 of the complaint.
- 31. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 31 of the complaint.
- 32. Paragraph 33 of the complaint can not be responded to as the document speaks for itself, and Defendants refer this Court to the document for its complete terms.
- 33. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 33 of the complaint.
- 34. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 34 of the complaint.
- 35. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 35 of the complaint.
- 36. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 36 of the complaint.
- 37. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 37 of the complaint.
- 38. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 38 of the complaint.
- 39. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 39 of the complaint.
- 40. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 40 of the complaint.
- 41. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 41 of the complaint.
- 42. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 42 of the complaint.
- 43. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 43 of the complaint.
- 44. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 44 of the complaint.
- 45. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 45 of the complaint.
- 46. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 46 of the complaint.
- 47. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 47 of the complaint.

- 48. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 48 of the complaint.
- 49. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 49 of the complaint.
- 50. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 50 of the complaint.
- 51. Repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 50 above, in response to paragraph 51 of the complaint.
- 52. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 52 of the complaint.
- 53. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 53 of the complaint.
- 54. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph 54 of the complaint.

AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

55. The complaint fails to state a claim for which relief can be granted.

AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

56. The claims set forth in the complaint are barred by the applicable statutes of limitations.

AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

57. The claims set forth in the complaint are preempted by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (ERISA), 29 U.S.C. 1001 et. seg.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

58. The defendant Fund is a self funded multiemployer welfare benefit fund, as that term is defined in ERISA, and, as such, is not governed by the New York Code of Rules and Regulations.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

59. The claims set forth in the complaint should be dismissed for Plaintiff's failure to

exhaust administrative remedies prior to the filing thereof.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

60. Plaintiff was only a Participant in the defendant Funds between September 1, 2003 and October 31, 2004. Any claims incurred before or after that time period are not covered and should not be paid by the Fund.

WHEREFORE, Defendants demand judgment dismissing the complaint, for costs and disbursements in connection with this action, and for such other and further relief as this court deems just and proper.

Dated: Floral Park, New York May 7, 2008

CAMPBELL & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

By: /s/

Daniel T. Campbell (DC 3875)

99 Tulip Avenue Floral Park, New York 11001 (516) 352-0300

TO: Richard M. Steigman, Esq. Gair, Gair, Conason Steigman & Mackauf 80 Pine Street New York, New York 10005

G:\New Documents\1707.Health.&.Benefit\Gaines v. DC 1707\answer.wpd

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 9, 2008, I electronically filed Defendants' answer to Plaintiff's complaint;

And, I hereby further certify that on May 7, 2008, I mailed a copy of the above referenced answer, via the United States Postal Service to:

> Richard Steigman, Esq Gair, Gair, Conason Steigman & Mackauf 80 Pine Street New York, New York 10005 Attorneys for Plaintiff

> > /S/ Daniel T. Campbell (3875)